

# LOCAL PROPERTIES ON THE REMAINDERS OF THE TOPOLOGICAL GROUPS

FUCAI LIN

**ABSTRACT.** When does a topological group  $G$  have a Hausdorff compactification  $bG$  with a remainder belonging to a given class of spaces? In this paper, we mainly improve some results of A.V. Arhangel'skii and C. Liu's. Let  $G$  be a non-locally compact topological group and  $bG$  be a compactification of  $G$ . The following facts are established: (1) If  $bG \setminus G$  has a locally a point-countable  $p$ -metabase and  $\pi$ -character of  $bG \setminus G$  is countable, then  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable; (2) If  $bG \setminus G$  has locally a  $\delta\theta$ -base, then  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable; (3) If  $bG \setminus G$  has locally a quasi- $G_\delta$ -diagonal, then  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable. Finally, we give a partial answer for a question, which was posed by C. Liu in [16].

## 1. INTRODUCTION

By a remainder of a space  $X$  we understand the subspace  $bX \setminus X$  of a Hausdorff compactification  $bX$  of  $X$ . In [3, 4, 5, 13, 16], many topologists studied the following question of a Hausdorff compactification: When does a Tychonoff space  $X$  have a Hausdorff compactification  $bX$  with a remainder belonging to a given class of spaces? A famous classical result in this study is the following theorem of M. Henriksen and J. Isbell [13]:

**(M. Henriksen and J. Isbell)** A space  $X$  is of countable type if and only if the remainder in any (in some) compactification of  $X$  is Lindelöf.

Recall that a space  $X$  is of *countable type* [10] if every compact subspace  $F$  of  $X$  is contained in a compact subspace  $K \subset X$  with a countable base of open neighborhoods in  $X$ . Suppose that  $X$  is a non-locally compact topological group, and that  $bX$  is a compactification of  $X$ . In [4], A.V. Arhangel'skii showed that if the remainder  $Y = bX \setminus X$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal or a point-countable base, then both  $X$  and  $Y$  are separable and metrizable. In [16], C. Liu improved the results of A.V. Arhangel'skii, and proved that if  $Y$  satisfies one of the following conditions (i) and (ii), then  $X$  and  $bX$  are separable and metrizable.

- (i)  $Y = bX \setminus X$  is a quotient  $s$ -image of a metrizable space, and  $\pi$ -character of  $Y$  is countable;
- (ii)  $Y = bX \setminus X$  has locally a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal.

In this paper, we mainly concerned with the following statement, and under what condition  $\Phi$  it is true.

**Statement** Suppose that  $G$  is a non-locally compact topological group, and that  $Y = bG \setminus G$  has locally a property- $\Phi$ . Then  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable.

Recall that a space  $X$  has *locally a property- $\Phi$*  if for each point  $x \in X$  there exists an open set  $U$  with  $x \in U$  such that  $U$  has a property- $\Phi$ .

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 54A25, 54B05.

*Key words and phrases.* Topological group; Countably compact;  $G_\delta$ -subset; Quasi- $G_\delta$ -diagonal; Countable type; Lindelöf  $p$ -space; Metrizable; Compactification; BCO; D-space.

Supported by the NSFC (No. 10971185, No. 10971186) and the Educational Department of Fujian Province (No. JA09166) of China.

In Section 2 we mainly study some local properties on the remainders of the topological group  $G$  such that  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable if the  $\pi$ -character of  $bG \setminus G$  is countable. Therefore, we extend some results of A.V. Arhangel'skiĭ and C. Liu.

In Section 3 we prove that if the remainders of a topological group  $G$  has locally a quasi- $G_\delta$ -diagonal, then  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable. Therefore, we improve a result of C. Liu in [16]. Also, we study the remainders that are the unions of  $G_\delta$ -diagonals.

In Section 4 we mainly give a partial answer for a question, which was posed by C. Liu in [16]. Finally, we also study the remainders that are locally hereditarily  $D$ -spaces.

Recall that a family  $\mathcal{U}$  of non-empty open sets of a space  $X$  is called a  $\pi$ -base if for each non-empty open set  $V$  of  $X$ , there exists an  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $U \subset V$ . The  $\pi$ -character of  $x$  in  $X$  is defined by  $\pi\chi(x, X) = \min\{|\mathcal{U}| : \mathcal{U} \text{ is a local } \pi\text{-base at } x \text{ in } X\}$ . The  $\pi$ -character of  $X$  is defined by  $\pi\chi(X) = \sup\{\pi\chi(x, X) : x \in X\}$ .

The  $p$ -spaces are a class of generalized metric spaces [1]. It is well-known that every metrizable space is a  $p$ -space, and every  $p$ -space is of countable type.

Throughout this paper, all spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff. The positively natural numbers is denoted by  $\mathbb{N}$ . We refer the readers to [10, 11] for notations and terminology not explicitly given here.

## 2. REMAINDERS WITH THE COUNTABLE $\pi$ -CHARACTERS

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a collection of subsets of  $X$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $p$ -network [7] for  $X$  if for distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there exists an  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $x \in A \subset X - \{y\}$ . The collection  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a  $p$ -base (i.e.,  $T_1$ -point-separating open cover) [7] for  $X$  if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $p$ -network and each element of  $\mathcal{A}$  is an open subset of  $X$ . The collection  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $p$ -metabase [15] (in [7],  $p$ -metabase is denoted by the condition (1.5)) for  $X$  if for distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there exists an  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{A}^{<\omega}$  such that  $x \in (\cup \mathcal{F})^\circ \subset \cup \mathcal{F} \subset X - \{y\}$ .

First, we give some technique lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** [3] *If  $X$  is a Lindelöf  $p$ -space, then any remainder of  $X$  is a Lindelöf  $p$ -space.*

**Lemma 2.2.** [16] *Let  $G$  be a non-locally compact topological group. Then  $G$  is locally separable and metrizable if for each point  $y \in Y = bG \setminus G$ , there is an open neighborhood  $U(y)$  of  $y$  such that every countably compact subset of  $U(y)$  is metrizable and  $\pi$ -character of  $Y$  is countable.*

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose that  $X$  has a point-countable  $p$ -metabase. Then each countably compact subset of  $X$  is a compact, metrizable,  $G_\delta$ -subset<sup>1</sup> of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a point-countable  $p$ -metabase of  $X$ , and that  $K$  is a countably compact subset of  $X$ . Then  $K$  is compact by [7]. According to a generalized Miščenko's Lemma in [22, Lemma 6], there are only countably many minimal neighborhood-covers<sup>2</sup> of  $K$  by finite elements of  $\mathcal{U}$ , say  $\{\mathcal{V}(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Let  $V(n) = \cup \mathcal{V}(n)$ . Then  $K \subset \cap \{V(n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Suppose that  $x \in X \setminus K$ . For each point  $y \in K$ , there is an  $\mathcal{F}_y \in \mathcal{U}^{<\omega}$  with  $y \in (\cup \mathcal{F}_y)^\circ \subset \cup \mathcal{F}_y \subset X - \{x\}$ . Then there is some sub-collection of  $\cup \{\mathcal{F}_y : y \in K\}$  is a minimal finite neighborhood-covers of  $K$  since  $K$  is compact. Therefore, we obtain one of the collections  $\mathcal{V}(n)$  with  $K \subset V(n) = \cup \mathcal{V}(n) \subset X - \{x\}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Suppose that  $X$  is a Lindelöf space with locally a point-countable  $p$ -metabase. Then  $X$  has a point-countable  $p$ -metabase.*

<sup>1</sup>A subset  $K$  of  $X$  is called a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $X$  if  $K$  is the intersection of countably open subsets of  $X$ .

<sup>2</sup>Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a collection of subsets of  $X$  and  $A \subset X$ . The collection  $\mathcal{P}$  is a *neighborhood-cover* of  $A$  if  $A \subset (\cup \mathcal{P})^\circ$ . A neighborhood-cover  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $A$  is a *minimal neighborhood-cover* if for each  $P \in \mathcal{P}$ ,  $\mathcal{P} \setminus \{P\}$  is not a neighborhood-cover of  $A$ .

*Proof.* For each point  $x \in X$ , there is an open neighborhood  $U(x)$  with  $x \in U(x)$  such that  $U(x)$  has a point-countable  $p$ -metabase  $\mathcal{F}_x$ . Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U(x) : x \in X\}$ . Since  $X$  is Lindelöf, it follows that there exists a countable subfamily  $\mathcal{U}' \subset \mathcal{U}$  such that  $X = \bigcup \mathcal{U}'$ . Denoted  $\mathcal{U}'$  by  $\{U_{x_i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Obviously,  $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_i \mathcal{F}_{x_i}$  is a point-countable  $p$ -metabase for  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a non-locally compact topological group, and that  $Y = bG \setminus G$  has locally a point-countable  $p$ -metabase. Then  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable if  $\pi$ -character of  $Y$  is countable.*

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $G$  is locally separable and metrizable by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3. Then  $G$  is a  $p$ -space. Hence  $Y$  is Lindelöf by Henriksen and Isbell's theorem. From Lemma 2.4 it follows that  $Y = bG \setminus G$  has a point-countable  $p$ -metabase.

Claim: The space  $Y$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal.

Put  $G = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} G_\alpha$ , where  $G_\alpha$  is a separable and metrizable subset for each  $\alpha \in \Lambda$ . Let  $\zeta = \{G_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ , and let  $F$  be the set of all points of  $bG$  at which  $\zeta$  is not locally finite. Since  $\zeta$  is discrete in  $G$ , it follows that  $F \subset bG \setminus G$ . It is easy to see that  $F$  is compact. Therefore, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that  $F$  is separable and metrizable. Hence  $F$  has a countable network.

Let  $M = Y \setminus F$ . For each point  $y \in M$ , there is an open neighborhood  $O_y$  in  $bG$  such that  $\overline{O_y} \cap F = \emptyset$ . Since  $\zeta$  is discrete,  $\overline{O_y}$  meets at most finitely many  $G_\alpha$ . Let  $L = \bigcup \{G_\alpha : G_\alpha \cap \overline{O_y} \neq \emptyset\}$ . Then  $L$  is separable and metrizable. By Lemma 2.1,  $\overline{L} \setminus L$  is a Lindelöf  $p$ -space. Obviously,  $\overline{L} \setminus L \subset Y$ . Therefore,  $\overline{L} \setminus L$  has a point-countable  $p$ -metabase. Hence  $\overline{L} \setminus L$  is separable and metrizable by [12], which implies that  $\overline{L}$  has a countable network. It follows that  $\overline{L}$  is separable and metrizable. Clearly,  $O_y \subset \overline{L}$  and  $O_y \cap M$  is separable and metrizable. Therefore,  $M$  is locally separable and metrizable. From Lemma 2.3 it follows that each compact subset of  $Y$  is a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $Y$ . Since  $F$  is compact and  $Y$  is Lindelöf, it follows that  $M$  is Lindelöf. Therefore,  $M$  is separable. Then  $M$  has a countable network. So  $Y$  has a countable network, which implies that  $Y$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal. Thus, Claim is verified.

Therefore,  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable by [4, Theorem 5].  $\square$

**Corollary 2.6.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a non-locally compact topological group, and that  $Y = bG \setminus G$  has locally a point-countable  $p$ -base. Then  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable if  $\pi$ -character of  $Y$  is countable.*

**Corollary 2.7.** [4] *Suppose that  $G$  is a non-locally compact topological group. If  $Y = bG \setminus G$  has a point-countable base, then  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable.*

Next, we consider the remainders with locally a  $\delta\theta$ -base<sup>3</sup> of the topological groups.

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $X$  be a Lindelöf space with locally a  $\delta\theta$ -base. Then  $X$  has a  $\delta\theta$ -base.*

*Proof.* For each point  $x \in X$ , there is an open neighborhood  $U(x)$  with  $x \in U(x)$  such that  $U(x)$  has a  $\delta\theta$ -base  $\mathcal{B}_x = \bigcup_n \mathcal{B}_{n,x}$ . Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U(x) : x \in X\}$ . Since  $X$  is Lindelöf, it follows that there exists a countable subfamily  $\mathcal{U}' \subset \mathcal{U}$  such that  $X = \bigcup \mathcal{U}'$ . Denoted  $\mathcal{U}'$  by  $\{U_{x_i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Obviously,  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{i,n} \mathcal{B}_{n,x_i}$  is a  $\delta\theta$ -base for  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.9.** *Let  $G$  be a non-locally compact topological group. If  $Y = bG \setminus G$  has locally a  $\delta\theta$ -base. Then  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable.*

*Proof.* Obviously,  $Y$  is first countable. By [8, Proposition 2.1], each countably compact subset of  $Y$  is a compact, metrizable,  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $Y$ . From Lemma 2.2 it follows that  $G$  is locally

<sup>3</sup>Recall that a collection  $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_n \mathcal{B}_n$  of open subsets of a space  $X$  is a  $\delta\theta$ -base [11] if whenever  $x \in U$  with  $U$  open, there exist an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and a  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  such that

(i)  $1 \leq \text{ord}(x, \mathcal{B}_n) \leq \omega$ ;  
(ii)  $x \in B \subset U$ .

separable and metrizable. Then  $G$  is a  $p$ -space. Hence  $Y$  is Lindelöf by Henriksen and Isbell's theorem. From Lemma 2.8 it follows that  $Y = bG \setminus G$  has a  $\delta\theta$ -base.

By the same notations in Theorem 2.5, it is easy to see from [8, Propostion 2.1] that  $F \subset bG \setminus G$  is compact and metrizable in view of the proof of Theorem 2.5. By [11, Corollary 8.3] and Lemma 2.1,  $\overline{L} \setminus L$  is separable and metrizable. In view of the proof of Theorem 2.5,  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable by [8, Propostion 2.1].  $\square$

**Corollary 2.10.** [16] *Let  $G$  be a non-locally compact topological group. If  $Y = bG \setminus G$  is locally a quasi-developable<sup>4</sup>. Then  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable.*

Finally, we consider the remainders with locally a  $c$ -semistratifiable space of the topological group.

Let  $X$  be a topological space.  $X$  is called a  $c$ -semistratifiable space (CSS) [17] if for each compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there is an open set  $G(n, K)$  in  $X$  such that:

- (i)  $\cap \{G(n, K) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = K$ ;
- (ii)  $G(n+1, K) \subset G(n, K)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ; and
- (iii) if for any compact subsets  $K, L$  of  $X$  with  $K \subset L$ , then  $G(n, K) \subset G(n, L)$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Theorem 2.11.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a non-locally compact topological group, and that  $Y = bG \setminus G$  is locally a CSS-space. Then  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable if  $\pi$ -character of  $Y$  is countable.*

*Proof.* By [8, Proposition 3.8(c)] and the definition of CSS-spaces, it is easy to see that each countably compact subset of  $Y$  is a compact, metrizable,  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $Y$ . From Lemma 2.2 it follows that  $G$  is locally separable and metrizable. Then  $G$  is a  $p$ -space. Hence  $Y$  is Lindelöf by Henriksen and Isbell's theorem. From Lemma 2.8 it follows that  $Y = bG \setminus G$  is a CSS-space by [8, Proposition 3.5].

By the same notations in Theorem 2.5, it is easy to see from [8, Proposition 3.8] that  $F \subset bG \setminus G$  is compact and metrizable in view of the proof of Theorem 2.5. By [8, Proposition 3.8],  $\overline{L} \setminus L$  is separable and metrizable. In view of the proof of Theorem 2.5, it is easy to see that  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.12.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a non-locally compact topological group, and that  $Y = bG \setminus G$  is locally a  $\sigma^\#$ -space<sup>5</sup>. Then  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable if  $\pi$ -character of  $Y$  is countable.*

*Proof.* By [8, Lemma 3.1], it follows that every  $\sigma^\#$ -space is a CSS-space. Hence  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable by Theorem 2.11.  $\square$

**Question 2.13.** *Let  $G$  be a non-locally compact topological group. If  $Y = bG \setminus G$  satisfies the following conditions (1) and (2), are  $G$  and  $bG$  separable and metrizable?*

- (1) *For each point  $y \in Y$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $U(y)$  of  $y$  such that every countably compact subset of  $U(y)$  is metirzable and  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $U(y)$ ;*
- (2)  *$\pi$ -character of  $Y$  is countable .*

---

<sup>4</sup>A space  $X$  is quasi-developable if there exists a sequence  $\{\mathcal{G}_n\}_n$  of families of open subsets of  $X$  such that for each point  $x \in X$ ,  $\{\text{st}(x, \mathcal{G}_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{st}(x, \mathcal{G}_n) \neq \emptyset\}$  is a base at  $x$ .

<sup>5</sup>A space  $X$  is called a  $\sigma^\#$ -space [17] if  $X$  has a  $\sigma$ -closure-preserving closed  $p$ -network.

3. REMAINDERS THAT ARE LOCALLY QUASI- $G_\delta$ -DIAGONALS, AND THAT ARE UNIONS

First, we study the remainders with locally a quasi- $G_\delta$ -diagonal<sup>6</sup> and improve a result of C. Liu.

We call a space  $X$  is *Ohio complete* [3] if in each compactification  $bX$  of  $X$  there is a  $G_\delta$ -subset  $Z$  such that  $X \subset Z$  and each point  $y \in Z \setminus X$  is separated from  $X$  by a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $Z$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $X$  be a  $p$ -space and every compact subset of  $bX \setminus X$  be metrizable. Then there exists a  $G_\delta$ -subset  $Y$  of  $bX$  such that  $X \subset Y$  and satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1)  $bX$  is first countable at every point  $y \in Y \setminus X$ ;
- (2) If  $X$  is a topological group and  $\overline{Y \setminus X} \cap X \neq \emptyset$ , then  $X$  is metrizable.

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is a  $p$ -space,  $X$  is Ohio complete [3, Corollary 3.7]. It follows that there is a  $G_\delta$ -subset  $Y$  of  $bX$  such that  $X \subset Y$  and every point  $y \in Y \setminus X$  can be separated from  $X$  by a  $G_\delta$ -subset. We now prove that  $Y$  satisfies the conditions (1) and (2).

(1) From the choice of  $Y$ , it is easy to see that for every point  $y \in Y \setminus X$  there exists a compact  $G_\delta$ -subset  $C$  of  $bX$  such that  $y \in C \subset Y \setminus X \subset bX \setminus X$ . Since  $C$  is compact, the compact subset  $C$  is metrizable. Therefore,  $y$  is a  $G_\delta$ -point in  $bX$  and hence,  $bX$  is first countable at  $y$ .

(2) We choose a point  $a \in \overline{Y \setminus X} \cap X$ . Since  $X$  is a  $p$ -space, there exists a compact subset  $F$  of  $X$  such that  $a \in F$  and  $F$  has a countable base of neighborhoods in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is dense in  $bX$ , the set  $F$  has a countable base of open neighborhoods  $\phi = \{U_n : n \in \omega\}$  in  $bX$ . Since  $a \in \overline{Y \setminus X}$ , we can fix a  $b_n \in U_n \cap (Y \setminus X)$  for each  $n \in \omega$ . Obviously, there is a point  $c \in F$  which is a limit point for the sequence  $\{b_n\}$ . By (1), we know that  $bX$  is first countable at  $b_n$  for every  $n \in \omega$ . We can fix a countable base  $\eta_n$  of  $bX$  at  $b_n$ . Then  $\cup\{\eta_n : n \in \omega\}$  is a countable  $\pi$ -base of  $bX$  at  $c$ . Then the space  $X$  also has a countable  $\pi$ -base at  $c$ , since  $c \in X$  and  $X$  is dense in  $bX$ . Since  $X$  is a topological group, the space  $X$  is metrizable.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a non-locally compact topological group. If  $Y = bG \setminus G$  has a quasi- $G_\delta$ -diagonal. Then  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable.*

*Proof.* Obviously,  $Y$  has a countable pseudocharacter. By [5, Theorem 5.1],  $G$  is a paracompact  $p$ -space or  $Y$  is first countable.

Case 1: The space  $Y$  is first countable.

From [8, Proposition 2.3] it follows that each countably compact subset of  $Y$  is a compact, metrizable,  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $Y$ . Note that a Lindelöf  $p$ -space with a quasi- $G_\delta$ -diagonal is metrizable by [14, Corollary 3.6]. In view of the proof of Theorem 2.5, it is easy to see that  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable.

Case 2: The space  $G$  is a paracompact  $p$ -space.

By [3, Corollary 3.7],  $G$  is Ohio complete. Therefore, there exists a  $G_\delta$ -subset  $X$  of  $bG$  such that  $G \subset X$  and every point  $x \in X \setminus G$  can be separated from  $G$  by a  $G_\delta$ -set of  $X$ . Let  $M = X \setminus G$ . Then  $bG$  is first countable at every point  $y \in M$  by Lemma 3.1.

Subcase 1:  $\overline{M} \cap G = \emptyset$ . Then  $X \setminus \overline{M} = G$ . Hence  $G$  is a  $G_\delta$ -subset of  $bG$ . It follows that  $Y$  is  $\sigma$ -compact. Since  $Y$  has a quasi- $G_\delta$ -diagonal, every compact subspace of  $Y$  is separable and metrizable by [8, Proposition 2.3]. Hence  $Y$  is separable. Since both  $Y$  and  $G$  are dense in  $bG$ , it follows that the Souslin number of  $G$  is countable. The space  $G$  is Lindelöf, since  $G$  is paracompact. Therefore,  $G$  is a Lindelöf  $p$ -space. Then  $Y$  is a Lindelöf  $p$ -space by Lemma 2.1. Since  $Y$  has a quasi- $G_\delta$ -diagonal, the space  $Y$  is metrizable by [14, Corollary 3.6]. It follows that  $Y$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal. Therefore,  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable by [4, Theorem 5].

<sup>6</sup>A space  $X$  has a *quasi- $G_\delta$ -diagonal* [14] if there exists a sequence  $\{\mathcal{G}_n\}_n$  of families of open subsets of  $X$  such that for each point  $x \in X$ ,  $\{\text{st}(x, \mathcal{G}_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{st}(x, \mathcal{G}_n) \neq \emptyset\}$  is a  $p$ -network at point  $x$ .

Subcase 2:  $\overline{M} \cap G \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $G$  is metrizable by Lemma 3.1.

Subcase 2(a):  $G$  is locally separable. By [8, Proposition 2.3], it is easy to see that  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable by the proof of Theorem 2.5.

Subcase 2(b):  $G$  is nowhere locally separable. Fix a base  $\mathcal{B} = \cup\{\mathcal{U}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $G$  such that each  $\mathcal{U}_n$  is discrete in  $G$ . Let  $F_n$  be the set of all accumulation points for  $\mathcal{U}_n$  in  $bG$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Put  $Z = \cup\{F_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then  $Z$  is dense in  $Y$  and  $\sigma$ -compact by [4, Proposition 4]. Since every compact space with a quasi- $G_\delta$ -diagonal is separable and metrizable by [8, Proposition 2.3], the space  $Z$  has a countable network. Because  $G$  is nowhere locally compact, the space  $Y$  is dense in  $bG$ . It follows that  $Z$  is dense in  $bG$ . Hence  $bG$  is separable, which implies that the Souslin number of  $G$  is countable. Since  $G$  is metrizable, the space  $G$  is separable. Then  $Y$  is a Lindelöf  $p$ -space by Lemma 2.1. Hence  $Y$  is metrizable by [14, Corollary 3.6]. It follows that  $Y$  is separable and metrizable, which implies that  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a Lindelöf space with locally a quasi- $G_\delta$ -diagonal. Then  $X$  has a quasi- $G_\delta$ -diagonal.*

*Proof.* For each point  $x \in X$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $U(x)$  such that  $x \in U(x)$  and  $U(x)$  has a quasi- $G_\delta$ -diagonal. Then  $\mathcal{U} = \{U(x) : x \in X\}$  is an open cover of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is a Lindelöf space, there exists a countable subfamily  $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{U}$  such that  $X = \cup\mathcal{V}$ . Denoted  $\mathcal{V}$  by  $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\{\mathcal{U}_{nk}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a quasi- $G_\delta$ -diagonal sequence of  $U_n$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{U}_{nk}\}_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a quasi- $G_\delta$ -diagonal sequence of  $X$ .

Indeed, for distinct points  $x, y \in X$ , there exists an  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \in U_n$ .

If  $y \notin U_n$ , then  $x \in U_n \subset X - \{y\}$ . Since  $\{\mathcal{U}_{nk}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a quasi- $G_\delta$ -diagonal sequence of  $U_n$ , there exists a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \in \cup\mathcal{U}_{nk}$ . Hence  $x \in \text{st}(x, \mathcal{U}_{nk}) \subset \cup\mathcal{U}_{nk} \subset U_n \subset X - \{y\}$ .

If  $y \in U_n$ , then  $x \in U_n - \{y\} \subset X - \{y\}$ . Since  $\{\mathcal{U}_{nk}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a quasi- $G_\delta$ -diagonal sequence of  $U_n$ , there exists a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \in \text{st}(x, \mathcal{U}_{nk}) \subset U_n - \{y\} \subset X - \{y\}$ .

Therefore,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a quasi- $G_\delta$ -diagonal sequence of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $G$  be a non-locally compact topological group. If  $Y = bG \setminus G$  has locally a quasi- $G_\delta$ -diagonal, then  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable.*

*Proof.* By [8, Proposition 2.1 and 2.5] and Lemma 2.2, it is easy to see that  $G$  is locally a separable and metrizable space. Then  $Y$  is a Lindelöf space by Henriksen and Isbell's theorem. From Lemma 3.3 it follows that  $Y$  has a quasi- $G_\delta$ -diagonal. Then  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable by Theorem 3.2.  $\square$

**Question 3.5.** *Is there a topological group  $G$  such that the  $Y = bG \setminus G$  has a  $W_\delta$ -diagonal<sup>7</sup>,  $G$  is not separable and metrizable?*

**Corollary 3.6.** [16] *Let  $G$  be a non-locally compact topological group. If  $Y = bG \setminus G$  has locally a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal, then  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable.*

Next, we study the remainder that are the unions of the  $G_\delta$ -diagonals.

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $G$  be a non-locally compact topological group. If there exists a point  $a \in Y = bG \setminus G$  such that  $\{a\}$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $Y$ , then at least one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1)  $G$  is a paracompact  $p$ -space;
- (2)  $Y$  is first-countable at some point.

---

<sup>7</sup>A space  $X$  is said to have a  $W_\delta$ -diagonal if there is a sequence  $(\mathcal{B}_n)$  of bases for  $X$  such that whenever  $x \in B_n \in \mathcal{B}_n$ , and  $(B_n)$  is decreasing (by set inclusion), then  $\{x\} = \cap\{B_n : n \in \omega\}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $Y$  is not first-countable at point  $a$ . Since  $a$  is a  $G_\delta$ -point in  $Y$ , there exists a compact subset  $F \subset bG$  with a countable base at  $F$  in  $bG$  such that  $\{a\} = F \cap (bG \setminus G)$ . We have  $F \setminus \{a\} \neq \emptyset$ , since  $Y$  is not first-countable at point  $a$ . Therefore, there exists a non-empty compact subset  $B \subset F$  with a countable base at  $B$  in  $bG$ . Obviously,  $B \subset G$ . It follows that  $G$  is a topological group of countable type [18]. Therefore,  $G$  is a paracompact  $p$ -space [18].  $\square$

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $G$  be a non-locally compact topological group, and  $Y = bG \setminus G = Y_1 \cup Y_2$ , where both  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  have a countable pseudocharacter. If at most one of the  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  is dense in  $bG$ , then at least one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1)  $G$  is a paracompact  $p$ -space;
- (2)  $Y$  is first-countable at some point.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\overline{Y_1} \neq bG$ . Let  $U = bG \setminus \overline{Y_1}$ . Then  $V = U \cap Y = U \cap Y_2 \neq \emptyset$ . It follows that  $V$  is an open subset of  $Y$  and each point of  $V$  is a  $G_\delta$ -point. By Lemma 3.7, we complete the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $G$  be a non-locally compact topological group, and  $Y = bG \setminus G = Y_1 \cup Y_2$ , where both  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  have a countable pseudocharacter. If both  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are Ohio complete, then at least one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1)  $G$  is a paracompact  $p$ -space;
- (2)  $Y$  is first-countable at some point.

*Proof.* Case 1:  $\overline{Y_1} \neq bG$  or  $\overline{Y_2} \neq bG$ .

It is easy to see by Lemma 3.8.

Case 2:  $\overline{Y_1} = bG$  and  $\overline{Y_2} = bG$ .

Then  $bG$  is the Hausdorff compactification of  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$ . Since  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  are Ohio complete, there exist  $G_\delta$ -subsets  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  satisfy the definition of Ohio complete, respectively.

Case 2(a):  $Y_1 = X_1$  and  $Y_2 = X_2$ .

Then  $Y$  has countable pseudocharacter. By [5, Theorem 5.1], we complete the proof.

Case 2(b):  $Y_1 \neq X_1$  or  $Y_2 \neq X_2$ .

Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $Y_1 \neq X_1$ . If  $(X_1 \setminus Y_1) \cap Y_2 \neq \emptyset$ , then for each  $y \in (X_1 \setminus Y_1) \cap Y_2$  there exists a compact subset  $C$  such that  $y \in C$  and  $C \cap Y_1 = \emptyset$ . Obviously,  $y$  is a  $G_\delta$ -point of  $Y$ . By Lemma 3.7, we also complete the proof. If  $(X_1 \setminus Y_1) \cap Y_2 = \emptyset$ , then there exists a compact subset  $C \subset G$  with a countable base at  $C$  in  $bG$ . It follows that  $G$  is a topological group of countable type [18]. Therefore,  $G$  is a paracompact  $p$ -space [18].  $\square$

A space with a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal is Ohio complete [2]. Therefore, by Theorem 3.9, we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $G$  be a non-locally compact topological group, and  $Y = bG \setminus G = Y_1 \cup Y_2$ , where both  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  have a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal. Then at least one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1)  $G$  is a paracompact  $p$ -space;
- (2)  $Y$  is first-countable at some point.

**Question 3.11.** *Let  $G$  be a non-locally compact topological group, and  $Y = bG \setminus G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{i=n} Y_i$ , where  $Y_i$  has a  $G_\delta$ -diagonal for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Is  $G$  a paracompact  $p$ -space or is  $Y$  first-countable at some point?*

**Question 3.12.** *Let  $G$  be a non-locally compact topological group, and  $Y = bG \setminus G = Y_1 \cup Y_2$ , where both  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$  have quasi- $G_\delta$ -diagonal. Is  $G$  a paracompact  $p$ -space or is  $Y$  first-countable at some point?*

## 4. REMAINDERS OF LOCALLY BCO AND LOCALLY HEREDITARILY D-SPACES

First, we study the following question, which was posed by C. Liu in [16].

**Question 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a non-locally compact topological group, and  $Y = bG \setminus G$  have a  $BCO$ <sup>8</sup>. Are  $G$  and  $bG$  separable and metrizable?*

Now we give a partial answer for Question 4.1.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $G$  be a non-locally compact topological group, and  $Y = bG \setminus G$  has a  $BCO$ . If  $Y$  is Ohio complete, then  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable.*

*Proof.* Since  $Y$  is Ohio complete,  $G$  is a paracompact  $p$ -space or  $\sigma$ -compact space by [3, Theorem 4.3].

Case 1: The space  $G$  is a paracompact  $p$ -space.

Since  $G$  is a  $p$ -space, the space  $Y$  is Lindelöf by Henriksen and Isbell's theorem. Hence  $Y$  is developable by [11, Theorem 6.6]. Then  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable by Theorem 3.4.

Case 2: The space  $G$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact space.

We claim that  $G$  is metrizable. Suppose that  $G$  is not metrizable. Then  $Y$  is  $\omega$ -bounded<sup>9</sup> by [5, Theorem 3.12]. Since  $G$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact topological group, the Souslin number  $c(G)$  of  $G$  is countable by a theorem of Tkachenko [21, Corollary 2]. Therefore,  $c(bG) \leq \omega$ .  $Y$  is dense in  $bG$ , since  $G$  is non-locally compact. It follows that  $c(Y) \leq \omega$  as well. Since  $Y$  is Čech-complete, there exists a dense subspace  $Z \subset Y$  such that  $Z$  is a paracompact and Čech-complete subspace of  $Y$  by [19]. Then  $Z$  is a paracompact space with a  $BCO$ . Therefore,  $Z$  is metrizable by [11, Theorem 1.2 and 6.6]. Since  $c(Y) \leq \omega$  and  $Z$  is dense for  $Y$ ,  $c(Z) \leq \omega$  as well. It follows that  $Z$  is separable. Since  $Y$  is  $\omega$ -bounded, it is compact. Therefore,  $G$  is locally compact, which is a contradiction. It follows that  $G$  is metrizable. Therefore,  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable by Case 1.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $G$  be a non-locally compact topological group, and  $Y = bG \setminus G$  have a  $BCO$ . If  $G$  is an  $\Sigma$ -space, then  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable.*

*Proof.* From [6, Theorem 2.8] it follows that every compact subspace of  $Y$  has countable character in  $Y$ . Since  $G$  is non-locally compact,  $Y$  is also a dense subset of  $bG$ . Hence  $G$  is Lindelöf space by Henriksen and Isbell's theorem. If  $G$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact space, then  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable by Case 2 in Theorem 4.2. Hence we assume that  $G$  is non- $\sigma$ -compact. Since  $G$  is a Lindelöf  $\Sigma$ -space, it is easy to see that  $G$  is a Lindelöf  $p$ -space by the proof of [5, Theorem 4.2]. It follows that  $G$  and  $bG$  are separable and metrizable by Case 1 in Theorem 4.2.  $\square$

Finally, we study the remainders of topological groups with locally a hereditarily D-space.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $G$  be a topological group. If for each  $y \in Y = bG \setminus G$  there exists an open neighborhood  $U(y)$  of  $y$  such that every  $\omega$ -bounded subset of  $U(y)$  is compact, then at least one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1)  $G$  is metrizable;
- (2)  $bG$  can be continuously mapped onto the Tychonoff cube  $I^{\omega_1}$ .

*Proof.* Case 1: The space  $G$  is locally compact.

If  $G$  is not metrizable, then  $G$  contains a topological copy of  $D^{\omega_1}$ . Since the space  $G$  is normal, the space  $G$  can be continuously mapped onto the Tychonoff cube  $I^{\omega_1}$

<sup>8</sup>A space  $X$  is said to have a *base of countable order*(BCO) [11] if there is a sequence  $\{\mathcal{B}_n\}$  of base for  $X$  such that whenever  $x \in b_n \in \mathcal{B}_n$  and  $(b_n)$  is decreasing (by set inclusion), then  $\{b_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a base at  $x$ .

<sup>9</sup>A space  $X$  is said to be  $\omega$ -bounded if the closure of every countable subset of  $X$  is compact.



Case 2: The space  $G$  is not locally compact.

Obviously, both  $G$  and  $Y$  are dense in  $bG$ . Suppose that the condition (2) doesn't hold. Then, by a theorem of Šapironskii in [20], the set  $A$  of all points  $x \in bG$  such that the  $\pi$ -character of  $bG$  at  $x$  is countable is dense in  $bG$ . Since  $G$  is dense in  $bG$ , it can follow that the  $\pi$ -character of  $G$  is countable at each point of  $A \cap G$ .

Subcase 2(a):  $A \cap G \neq \emptyset$ .

Since  $G$  is a topological group, it follows that  $G$  is first countable, which implies that  $G$  is metrizable.

Subcase 2(b):  $A \cap G = \emptyset$ .

Obviously,  $A \subset Y$ . For each  $y \in Y$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $U(y)$  in  $Y$  such that  $y \in U(y)$  and every  $\omega$ -bounded subset of  $U(y)$  is compact. Obviously,  $A \cap U(y)$  is dense of  $U(y)$ . Also, it is easy to see that  $A \cap U(y)$  is  $\omega$ -bounded subset for  $U(y)$ . Therefore,  $A \cap U(y)$  is compact. Then  $A \cap U(y) = U(y)$ , since  $A \cap U(y)$  is dense of  $U(y)$ . Hence  $Y$  is locally compact, a contradiction.  $\square$

A *neighborhood assignment* for a space  $X$  is a function  $\varphi$  from  $X$  to the topology of  $X$  such that  $x \in \varphi(x)$  for each point  $x \in X$ . A space  $X$  is a *D-space* [9], if for any neighborhood assignment  $\varphi$  for  $X$  there is a closed discrete subset  $D$  of  $X$  such that  $X = \bigcup_{d \in D} \varphi(d)$ .

It is easy to see that every countably compact D-space is compact. Hence we have the following result by Theroem 4.4.

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $G$  be a topological group. If  $Y = bG \setminus G$  is locally a hereditarily D-space, then at least one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1)  $G$  is metrizable;
- (2)  $bG$  can be continuously mapped onto the Tychonoff cube  $I^{\omega_1}$ .

**Acknowledgements.** We wish to thank the reviewers for the detailed list of corrections, suggestions to the paper, and all her/his efforts in order to improve the paper. In particular, Question 3.5 is due to the reviewers.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Arhangel'skii, *Mappings and spaces*, Russian Math. Surveys., 21(1966), 115–162.
- [2] A. Arhangel'skii, *D-space and covering properties*, Topology Appl., 146-147(2005), 437–449.
- [3] A. Arhangel'skii, *Remainders in compactification and generalized metrizable properties*, Topology Appl., 150(2005), 79–90.
- [4] A. Arhangel'skii, *More on remainders close to metrizable spaces*, Topology Appl., 154(2007), 1084–1088.
- [5] A. Arhangel'skii, *First countability, tightness and other cardinal invariants in remainders of topological groups*, Topology Appl., 154(2007), 2950–2961.
- [6] B. Alleche, A. Arhangel'skii, J. Calbrix, *Weak developments and metrization*, Topology Appl., 100(2000), 23–38.
- [7] D. Burke, E.A. Michael, *On certain point-countable covers*, Pacific J. Math., 64(1976), 79–92.
- [8] H. Bennett, R. Byerly, D. Lutzer, *Compact  $G_\delta$  sets*, Topology Appl., 153(2006), 2169–2181.
- [9] E.K. Douwen, W.F. Pfeffer, *Some properties of the Sorgenfrey line and related spaces*, Pacific J. Math., 81(1979), 371–377.
- [10] R. Engelking, *General Topology*(revised and completed edition), Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [11] G. Gruenhage, *Generalized metric spaces*, In: K. Kunen, J. E. Vaughan(Eds.), *Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology*, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V., Amsterdam, 1984, pp. 423–501.
- [12] G. Gruenhage, E. Michael, Y. Tanaka, *Spaces determined by point-countable covers*, Pacific J. Math., 113(1984), 303–332.
- [13] M. Henriksen, J. Isbell, *Some properties of compactifications*, Duke Math. J., 25(1958), 83–106.
- [14] R.E. Hodel, *Metrizability of topological spaces*, Pacific J. Math., 55(1974), 441–459.
- [15] S. Lin, *Generalized Metrizable Spaces and Mappings* (2nd edition), China Science Press, Beijing, 2007 (in Chinese).

- [16] C. Liu, *Remainders in compactification of topological groups*, Topology Appl., 156(2009), 849–854.
- [17] H. Martin, *Metrizability of  $M$ -space*, Canad. J. Math., 4(1973), 840–841.
- [18] W. Roelke, S. Dierolf, *Uniform Structures on Topological group and Their Quotients*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1981.
- [19] B. Šapirovskii, *On separability and metizability of spaces with Souslin's condition*, Soviet Math. Dokl., 13(1972), 1633–1638.
- [20] B. Šapirovskii, *On  $\pi$ -character and  $\pi$ -weight of compact Hausdorff spaces*, Soviet Math. Dokl., 16(1975), 999–1003.
- [21] M.G. Tkachenko, *On the Souslin property in free topological groups over compact Hausdorff spaces*, Mat. Notes, 34(1983), 790–793.
- [22] P.F. Yan, S. Lin, *Point-countable  $k$ -network and  $\alpha_4$ -space*, Topology Proc., 24(1999), 345–354.

FUCAI LIN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, ZHANGZHOU NORMAL UNIVERSITY, ZHANGZHOU 363000, P. R. CHINA.

*E-mail address:* linfucai2008@yahoo.com.cn